RGRG1041E31, BP49149rt 2 Treasury Tepartment, T. P. BUREAU OF THE MINT,
Washington, D. G., Jaluary 11, 1888. Hou Lawiel M. Fox Suphillait U.S. Philadelphia Das Referring to your Ordinaugund Rofinery accounts for the mouth of December 1887 I will Thank you to Explain the difference infrice charged for Double refued Mitrate of Fotash, as shown by roughers 480 *50 of your Refinery account. In the But of Wyeth Brother it is charged at 1660 per 100 lbs, while in that of The Frankford Cheural Works The charge is If and 15; or more than that of the Earleen purchase I will also Thank you to explain

RGRG124153xBP49149rt 2 why the acid ve, sovered by voucher number 508, Ordinary account, was not paid for from the appropriation for Parting and & ofuning bullion In This gourection your attention is called to the law in relation to fagment of expenses incurred in the operations of Parting and refuing bullion surpage by of the Sustructions and regulations, Respectfully yours Jet Im face

Treasury Nepartment,

BUREAU OF THE MINT,

Jan 11. 1888. James P. Himball, Director.

Referring to Ordinary + Refinly acts for Dec. 1887. asks to explain the difference in price charged for Double refined Nitrate of Potash" as shown by boucher #48+50 of Refinery accts.

also, why the acid xc. covered by variched no 50 8. Ordy acct. Was not paid from appropriatron for Parting & Referring bullion!

Instructions + Regulations.

JAN 12 1880

No. of Enclosures,

[Abstract:] Referring to Ordinary & Refining Accts. For Dec.1887...

Treasury Department, F.P.G. Bureau of the Mint, Washington, D.C., January 11, 1888

Hon. Daniel M. Fox Supt. Mint U.S. Philadelphia, Pa.

Sir:

Referring to your Ordinary and Refinery accounts for the month of December 1887. I will thank you to explain the difference in price charged for "Double refined Nitrate of Potash", as shown by vouchers #48 & #50 of your Refinery accounts. In the Bill of Wyeth Brother it is charged at \$6.63 per 100 lbs, while in that of the Frankford Chemical Works the charge is 14 and 15 cents, or more than that of the earlier purchase.

I will also thank you to explain why the acid &c. covered by voucher number 508, Ordinary Account, was not paid for from the appropriation for Parting and Refining bullion.

In this communication your attention is called to the law in relation to payment of expenses incurred in the operations of Parting and Refining bullion see page 67 of the Instructions and regulations.

Respectfully yours, Jas. P. Kimball Director